UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,011	08/20/2003	John Page	10030675-1	4876
	7590 05/22/200 CHNOLOGIES INC.	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY ADM	TANG, KENNETH		
MS BLDG. E P LOVELAND, (			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2195	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		05/22/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPOPS.LEGAL@agilent.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/644,011	PAGE, JOHN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KENNETH TANG	2195		

		REMITE III I7 MO	2100	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 29 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)	<b>=</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
have under set fo may r	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯w);	ΓE below);	
	<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:</li> </ul> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			ne issues for
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. <u> </u>	- 11 13		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>8 and 10</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9,12,13,16-18,20 and 21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	<ul> <li><u>UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> <li>☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:
_	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/VAN H NGUYEN/		
		Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2194	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rejections based on 112, 2nd paragraph have been withdrawn by the Examiner. Throughout the Remarks, Applicant attacks references individually. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., Inc., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Furthermore, Applicant only addresses a selected portion of the references cited by the Examiner. To clarify, the "Mirrored OS" (Fig. 3 of Raves), as pointed out in the final rejection, represents the image or clone of the master OS system. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but were not found to be persuasive. Claims 8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.